



PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE *REVISED AGENDA*

Wednesday, June 14, 2023, 5:00 p.m.
Council Chamber, City Hall
4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, BC

| | Pages |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 1. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u> | |
| 2. <u>MINUTES</u> | |
| 2.1 Minutes of the Planning and Development Committee Open meeting held on May 10, 2023. | 3 |
| 3. <u>DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS</u> | |
| None | |
| 4. <u>CORRESPONDENCE</u> | |
| None | |
| 5. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS</u> | |
| 5.1 REPORT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT - RE: RENTAL USE ZONING POLICY REVIEW | 8 |
| Purpose: To seek Council adoption of an updated Rental Use Zoning Policy, and to seek authority to prepare amendments to the Zoning Bylaw in support of the Policy updates. | |
| <i>staff presentation</i> | |
| 6. <u>INFORMATION REPORTS</u> | |
| 6.1 REPORT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND | 32 |

DEVELOPMENT - RE: RENTAL HOUSING SUMMARY

Purpose: To provide an update on the Rental Housing Summary.

7. OTHER BUSINESS

8. ADJOURNMENT



PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE M I N U T E S

**Wednesday, May 10, 2023, 5:00 p.m.
Council Chamber, City Hall
4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, BC**

PRESENT: Councillor Joe Keithley, Vice Chair (in the Chair)
Councillor Sav Dhaliwal
Councillor Alison Gu
Councillor James Wang

ABSENT: Councillor Pietro Calendino, Chair

STAFF: Lee-Ann Garnet, Acting General Manager Planning & Development
James Lota, General Manager Lands & Facilities
May Phang, Deputy General Manager Engineering
Johannes Schumann, Director Development & Urban Design
Amy Choh, Director Engineering - Transportation Services
Carl Isaak, Director Community Planning
Sarah Alexander, Director Business Operations, Lands & Facilities
Elaine Wong, Office Manager Mayor's Office
Kathryn Matts, Administrative Officer 2

1. **CALL TO ORDER**

The Acting Chair called the Open Committee meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

The Acting Chair, Councillor Keithley, recognized the ancestral and unceded homelands of the həŋqəmiŋəŋ and Sḵwəxwú7mesh speaking peoples, and extended appreciation for the opportunity to hold a meeting on this territory.

2. **MINUTES**

2.1 **Minutes of the Planning and Development Committee Open meeting held on April 12, 2023**

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR GU
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DHALIWAL

THAT the minutes of the Planning and Development Committee meeting held on April 12, 2023, be now adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3. **PRESENTATIONS**

None

4. **DELEGATIONS**

4.1 **Community-Led Engagement for the Edmonds Community Plan**

Elie Lubendo appeared before the Committee and provided a presentation entitled *Community-Led Engagement for Edmonds Community Plan*. The speaker advised that the presentation is to highlight a community-led engagement process to aid and inform residents of the ongoing community plan review of Edmonds. Mr. Lubendo spoke of the core issues of traffic safety and transportation as well as community-sensitive equity considerations that necessitate a deeper engagement effort for this community plan with the end goal being a development of a plan that gives a voice to all residents.

The speaker introduced “Vision Edmonds” and advised that it was created as an opportunity to engage the community and facilitate dialogue on how to incorporate community needs within the Edmonds Community Plan. In addition, the goal is to create a website to be used as a central community hub for information, dialogue, events, and ongoing projects in Edmonds. It will also be utilized to publish case studies and create surveys on community issues in Edmonds.

Future projects include the launch of a videography series documenting the culture of the Edmonds community, hosting a podcast and video series on ongoing community issues in Edmonds, and finally, creating online engagement for the Edmonds community.

The Committee thanked Mr. Lubendo for his presentation.

5. **CORRESPONDENCE**

None

6. **REPORTS**

6.1 **REPORT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT - RE: EDMONDS TOWN CENTRE COMMUNITY PLAN PHASE 1 PUBLIC CONSULTATION: PRELIMINARY VISIONING, GOALS, AND PLAN DIRECTIONS**

The General Manager Planning and Development submitted a report seeking endorsement of the preliminary visioning, goals and plan directions for the Edmonds Town Centre Community Plan update and initiating the Phase 1 public consultation process.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR DHALIWAL
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WANG

1. **THAT** the Committee recommend that Council endorse the preliminary visioning, goals, and plan directions for the Edmonds Town Centre Community Plan update, as a basis for receiving community input, as outlined in this report.
2. **THAT** the Committee recommend that Council authorize staff to undertake the Phase 1 public consultation process as outlined in this report.
3. **THAT** this report be advanced to Council's open agenda.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Staff provided a PowerPoint presentation that touched on Items 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3.

6.2 **REPORT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT - RE: CASCADE HEIGHTS URBAN VILLAGE COMMUNITY PLAN PHASE 1 PUBLIC CONSULTATION: PRELIMINARY VISIONING, GOALS, AND PLAN DIRECTIONS**

The General Manager Planning and Development submitted a report seeking endorsement of the preliminary visioning, goals, and plan directions for the Cascade Heights Urban Village Community Plan and to initiate the Phase 1 public consultation process.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR GU

SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DHALIWAL

1. **THAT** the Committee recommend that Council endorse the preliminary visioning, goals, and plan directions for the Cascade Heights Urban Village Community Plan, as a basis for receiving community input, as outlined in this report.
2. **THAT** the Committee recommend that Council authorize staff to undertake the Phase 1 public consultation process as outlined in this report.
3. **THAT** this report be advanced to Council's open agenda.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6.3 REPORT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT - RE: ROYAL OAK URBAN VILLAGE COMMUNITY PLAN PHASE 1 PUBLIC CONSULTATION; PRELIMINARY VISIONING, GOALS, AND PLAN DIRECTIONS

The General Manager Planning and Development submitted a report seeking endorsement of the preliminary visioning, goals, and plan directions for the Royal Oak Urban Village Community Plan and to initiate the Phase 1 public consultation process.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WANG

SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DHALIWAL

1. **THAT** the Committee recommend that Council endorse the preliminary visioning, goals and plan directions for the Royal Oak Urban Village Community Plan, as a basis for receiving community input, as outlined in this report.
2. **THAT** the Committee recommend that Council authorize staff to undertake the Phase 1 public consultation process as outlined in this report.
3. **THAT** this report be advanced to Council's open agenda.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6.4 REPORT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT RE: LIQUOR AND CANNABIS POLICY REVIEW - PROJECT INITIATION, PROCESS AND NEXT STEPS

The General Manager Planning and Development submitted a report providing introductory information on the proposed Liquor and Cannabis Policy review process and seeking authorization to gather public input.

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR GU
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WANG

THAT the Committee and Council authorize staff to undertake public consultation regarding the subject Liquor and Cannabis Policy review, as generally outlined in Section 5.0 of this report.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7. NEW BUSINESS & INQUIRIES

There were no inquiries brought before the Committee at this time.

8. ADJOURNMENT

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR GU
SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DHALIWAL

THAT the Planning and Development Committee meeting adjourn at 6:25 p.m.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COUNCILLOR JOE KEITHLEY
ACTING CHAIR

KATHRYN MATTS
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER 2



Meeting June 14, 2023

File: 49500 00

COMMITTEE REPORT

TO: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (PDC)

FROM: GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT: RENTAL USE ZONING POLICY REVIEW

PURPOSE: To seek Council adoption of an updated Rental Use Zoning Policy, and to seek authority to prepare amendments to the Zoning Bylaw in support of the Policy updates.

RECOMMENDATIONS

THAT the Planning and Development Committee recommend that Council adopt the revised Rental Use Zoning Policy, as presented in *Attachment 1*;

THAT the Planning and Development Committee recommend that Council authorize staff to prepare Zoning Bylaw amendments to minimum net floor area unit sizes for rental units, according to the terms outlined in this report dated June 14, 2023 from the General Manager Planning and Development; and

THAT the Planning and Development Committee recommend that Council authorize staff to prepare Zoning Bylaw amendments associated with the Gross Floor Area regulations for Multiple Family Residential Districts, according to the terms outlined in this report dated June 14, 2023 from the General Manager Planning and Development.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents the results of a review of the implementation of the Rental Use Zoning Policy (RUZP). It proposes amendments to both the policy and related Zoning Bylaw provisions to improve its implementation. The proposed policy amendments provide greater clarity on the policy's requirements as originally adopted by Council. The proposed amendments to the Zoning Bylaw increase the density available to offset the higher costs of non-market housing units constructed in concrete or mass timber buildings. Additionally, Zoning Bylaw amendments are proposed to change the minimum unit sizes for residential unit types, which would improve the livability of rental units and better align with BC Housing's Design Guidelines which set minimum unit sizes for BC Housing projects.

1.0 POLICY SECTION

Pursuant to section 481.1(1) of the *Local Government Act*, local governments are authorized to limit tenure to residential rental tenure for a zone or part of a zone where

multi-family residential use is permitted. A local government may also limit the form of tenure to a specified number, portion or percentage of housing units within a building.

An ongoing action of HOME: Burnaby's Housing and Homelessness Strategy (2021) is to monitor and evaluate the success of the RUZP in achieving rental housing.

2.0 BACKGROUND

First adopted by Council on May 13, 2019, the RUZP framework is a key City policy that works in parallel with the Tenant Assistance Policy (TAP). The current version of the RUZP was adopted on March 9, 2020, and supports the City's goal of increasing the supply of rental housing and facilitating the replacement of existing rental units that would otherwise be lost through redevelopment or renovations that require rezoning. The RUZP provides four streams to support the aforementioned goals; specifically: rental replacement, inclusionary rental, voluntary rental housing in commercial districts, and protection of existing rental sites. The streams aim to balance the creation of non-market rental housing for residents and increase the overall rental housing supply in Burnaby.

At the time of adoption, a two-year review of the policy was proposed. The policy enables the City to achieve new market and non-market rental units, along with replacement of existing purpose built rental housing, in new developments in community plan areas. To date, thousands of new rental units are under construction or proposed through implementation of this policy.

On May 11, 2022, Council adopted the scope of work for the RUZP review, which included monitoring of achievements and completion of a financial analysis of the policy. Since that time, Council requested staff to also review the Zoning Bylaw regulations for minimum unit sizes for rental units. This report outlines the work requested by Council.

3.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

3.1 Current Rental Use Zoning Policy

The intent of the RUZP is to provide more rental housing, including market and non-market units, as options for low to moderate income households. The implementation framework has been designed to secure a long-term rental stock for Burnaby residents.

The policy both requires and encourages developers to provide rental units at a range of affordability levels. There are four streams within the policy:

1. **Rental Replacement:** Requires replacement of existing purpose built rental units when existing sites are proposed for redevelopment ("**Replacement Rental Units**"). The policy intersects with the City's Tenant Assistance Policy by providing rental replacement units to eligible tenants who were displaced during redevelopment, with access to tenant assistance under the City's policy. The

replacement units are provided at pre-development rents for returning tenants, subject to *Residential Tenancy Act* (RTA) rental increases during construction. If tenants choose not to return to the new development or vacate a replacement unit, the affordability is maintained as an inclusionary unit at rents 20% below CMHC market median rents for that rental survey area.

2. **Inclusionary Rental:** Requires new developments within community plan areas to provide an equivalent of 20% of the total Base and Bonus Density units as non-market rental units ("**Required Inclusionary Units**" or "**Burnaby Affordable**") at rents 20% below CMHC market median rents; and allows the option for additional rental units on a 1:1 ratio at market and CMHC market median rents ("**Optional Inclusionary Units**"). The policy encourages applicants to pursue other government funding to achieve greater affordability than the minimum non-market requirements in the RUZP, including for both the inclusionary and replacement units.
3. **Voluntary Rental Housing in Commercial Districts:** Allows developments to use up to 49% of the designated commercial floor area within applicable commercial zoning districts to be residential rental housing, provided the remaining 51% of the floor area are typical commercial uses, and that all other multiple family residential densities are utilized in line with the RUZP.
4. **Protection of Existing Rental:** Enables the City to secure existing sites improved with purpose built rental buildings by applying rental tenure zoning to the property. This would secure any new development of these sites as rental.

The implementation of the RUZP is supported by the framework for market and rental residential densities in the City's *Zoning Bylaw*, which offers additional market strata or rental density to offset the cost of inclusionary non-market rental units. For the purposes of this report, the multiple-family residential densities available in the *Zoning Bylaw* are summarized below:

1. **Base Density:** RM and RMs density intended for the provision of multi-family market strata or rental dwelling units, excluding bonus, RMr, and offset density;
2. **Bonus Density:** Additional RM and RMs market strata or rental density provided in exchange for contributing a community benefit (e.g. community amenities, affordable housing, cash-in-lieu contribution);
3. **Rental Density:** RMr rental tenure density intended for the provision of Replacement Units and Required or Voluntary Inclusionary Units in accordance with the RUZP;
4. **Offset Density:** Additional density intended for the provision of market strata or rental dwelling units to offset the cost of providing rental Replacement Units or Required Inclusionary Units.

A summary of rental units achieved and projected through the implementation of the RUZP policy can be found elsewhere on Committee's agenda.

3.2 Financial Analysis

As part of the RUZP policy review, financial analysis conducted by a third party consultant was undertaken to assess the feasibility of the following aspects of the RUZP. The following summarizes this analysis, and the resultant policy recommendations.

Inclusionary Units and Offset Density

The value of the Inclusionary Units was assessed for the three CMHC rental market zones in Burnaby (Metrotown/Central Park, Southeast Burnaby, and North Burnaby). The values vary by location based on the different median rents and operational revenues for the three CMHC zones. Areas of Burnaby where market median rents are higher (e.g. Metrotown) are more likely to be successful in meeting the RUZP than areas with lower median rents (e.g. Edmonds).

The consultant evaluated whether the financial impact of providing the required Inclusionary Units is fully offset by the value of the additional density offset provided. The analysis focused on the RM3s, RM4s and RM5s Districts, as the inclusionary requirement is not required in the lower-density RM1, RM2, and RM6 zoning Districts.

With respect to construction method, wood frame construction was found to be more financially viable for the Inclusionary Units, having less overall costs per square metre/square foot than concrete construction. For concrete construction in higher density RM4s and RM5s Districts, the negative financial impact of the Inclusionary Units is on average higher than the value of the Offset Density. In some areas, such as Edmonds or Lougheed, the cost of the Inclusionary Units in concrete buildings can significantly exceed the value of the Offset Density.

In the RM3s District, where low-rise wood frame construction is most typical, the analysis indicates that apartment development is often not financially viable at the Base Density (before the Required Inclusionary and Offset Density is considered). This lack of financial viability is consistent with the limited number of RM3s rezoning applications received by the City in recent years. Further, existing purpose built rental sites that are designated for RM3s District will often have Rental Replacement obligations that far exceed the minimum requirements for Inclusionary Units.

To address questions raised by Council, while financial impacts and value of density varies across the City, the consultant determined that there is no financial ability to reduce the market Offset Density, increase the Inclusionary Units requirement, or deepen the Burnaby Affordable rate of 20% below CMHC market median rents

without significantly impacting the number of market and non-market residential units we receive and approve. However, the financial analysis supports an increase to the Offset Density to help meet the cost of providing the Required Inclusionary Units as part of concrete or mass timber buildings, which results in greater resiliency and longevity of the housing over the long term.

The analysis also investigated the use of surplus Optional Inclusionary Units, once replacement or inclusionary rental requirements are met. This surplus permits a 1:1 ratio of CMHC market median rental units and market rental units. While location and site specific variability have affected the viability of Optional Inclusionary Units, based on the analysis, it is anticipated that there remains limited interest in this option unless subsidy is available or CMHC market median rates increase. While there have been requests from the development industry to permit the Optional Inclusionary units to be rented at market, an analysis on the additional number of rental units this would generate, and the impact to overall rental affordability has not been undertaken, and would be pursued as part of a future policy review unless otherwise directed by Council.

Commercial Rental Density

The financial analysis reviewed the use of up to 49% of Commercial Rental Density for the provision of market rental units across all four Town Centres. It concluded that both market rental and commercial floor area remain viable. However, while dependent upon demand, commercial lease or strata in Burnaby still financially outperforms market rental housing and would likely result in limited uptake of this opportunity should commercial demand increase. The analysis concluded that changing the ratio of commercial vs. residential rental floor area would not have a material effect on making this option more feasible. Overall, it was concluded that the uptake of the option will be location dependent and influenced by the market for office space at the time as well as the physical ability to accommodate the different types of space on the site.

In summary, the analysis concluded that the financial viability of the RUZP varies by location and by construction method. Overall, the policy requirements for Inclusionary Rental Units is easier to meet with wood frame construction (which has less cost than concrete construction or mass timber), and in areas of Burnaby where market median rents are higher (e.g. Metrotown/Central Park).

3.3 Proposed Policy and Zoning Bylaw Amendments

Housekeeping Policy Amendments

Staff undertook a review of the implementation of the RUZP and identified aspects of the policy that needed either greater clarification, were in Council adopted reports but missing from the policy document, or needed to better align with the Tenant

Assistance Policy. Changes to the wording in the policy are proposed as outlined in *Attachment 1*, and a table of the proposed changes can be found in *Attachment 2*.

Offset Density Zoning Bylaw Amendments

Based on the findings of the financial analysis, staff are recommending updates to the *Zoning Bylaw* to provide additional Offset Density to cover the costs of Inclusionary Units in a non-combustible concrete or mass timber building. Improving the viability for concrete or mass timber Inclusionary Units is integral to the implementation and success of the RUZP for the following reasons:

- **Land Use and Urban Design:** Higher density Town Centre and Urban Village developments provide for significant non-market residential growth next to transit, employment, and services. These sites are often required to construct concrete buildings based on site area constraints, proposed building heights, and the mix of integrated land uses.
- **Building Lifespan:** Contemporary concrete and mass timber buildings provide for a building life that can be decades longer than wood frame buildings. Delivering a portion of Inclusionary Units in more robust concrete/mass timber buildings helps to support the delivery of non-market units for the long term benefit of Burnaby residents.
- **Sustainability:** The additional Offset Density is also provided for mass timber buildings that face higher construction costs than wood frame construction. Mass timber buildings are made from wood, a renewable construction material that can replace more carbon-intensive materials.

The *Zoning Bylaw* amendments propose to increase Offset Density for concrete or mass timber construction, which property owners and prospective development applicants may consider as they determine the preferred type of construction to meet the RUZP obligations. This approach allows the *Zoning Bylaw*, along with the RUZP and adopted Community Plans, to support a more accurate two-tiered offset of costs for the future development of non-market Inclusionary Units that vary in construction methods and costs (i.e. wood frame buildings vs. concrete / mass timber buildings).

Table 1 below summarizes the maximum Base, Bonus, and Rental Density, and the current and proposed Offset Density for the Multiple Family Districts that require Inclusionary Units. Maximum densities may not be achievable or desired for all development sites, particularly where challenging site configurations or environmental constraints exist.

Table 1. Summary of Proposed Offset Density Zoning Bylaw Amendments

| Zoning Districts | Maximum Density (FAR) | | | Current Offset Density | Proposed Offset Density | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Multiple Family Residential | Base Density | Bonus Density | Rental Density (RMr) | Standard FAR regardless of non-market construction | % of Base and Bonus Density, based on type of non-market construction | |
| | | | | | Wood Frame | Concrete / Mass Timber |
| Within Town Centres | | | | | | |
| RM5s/RM5 | 3.40 | 1.60 | 2.20 | 1.10 | 22.0% | 30.0% |
| RM4s/RM4 | 2.50 | 1.10 | 1.70 | 0.85 | 24.0% | 30.0% |
| RM3s/RM3 | 1.10 | 0.40 | 1.10 | 0.55 | 36.0% | 36.0% |
| Outside Town Centres | | | | | | |
| RM5 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 2.20 | 1.10 | 36.0% | 36.0% |
| RM4 | 1.70 | 0.00 | 1.70 | 0.85 | 36.0% | 36.0% |
| RM3 | 1.1 | 0.00 | 1.1 | 0.55 | 36.0% | 36.0% |

Notes on Table 1:

- Full Base Density is only permitted if all off-street parking is provided underground.
- Bonus Density is only permitted in Town Centres.
- Strata or Market rental units may be achieved through Base, Bonus and Offset Density.
- Maximum RMr Rental Density is inclusive of both required and optional Inclusionary Units.
- Previously adopted Urban Village Multiple Family Sub-Districts (i.e. with a uv, uv-a, uv-b, or uv-c suffix) already incorporate offset density percentages that are based on recent financial analysis of urban village building forms, which are distinct from Town Centre podium and high-rise point tower forms. As such, the proposed offset percentages for RM5, RM4, and RM3 Districts outside of Town Centres, as shown above, are the same as the offset percentages provided in the RM5, RM4, and RM3 Urban Village Sub-Districts for both wood frame and concrete / mass timber.

Rather than a standard FAR number, the proposed Offset Density provision is calculated based on a percentage of the Base and Bonus FAR utilized. Using a percentage to calculate Offset Density ensures that the Offset Density is able to increase or decrease proportionally with the Base and Bonus Density and non-market requirements being pursued for a development site. For wood frame construction in Town Centres, the proposed Offset Density percentages are generally consistent with the existing proportion of Offset Density for RM3s, RM4s, and RM5s Districts that utilize maximum density. For concrete or mass timber construction, the higher percentage of Offset Density was informed through financial analysis to determine the additional market density needed to help offset the delivery of concrete Inclusionary Units required under the RUZP.

Minimum Unit Size Zoning Bylaw Amendments

Council requested that staff review the *Zoning Bylaw* regulations governing minimum unit sizes for rental units. The review included calculating the average unit sizes being proposed in new developments in Burnaby, unit size regulations in other municipalities, and a review of BC Housing's design guidelines. When compared to other municipalities and BC Housing's design standards, the City's minimum unit sizes for rental units were generally smaller. However, when staff reviewed the average unit sizes of non-market units in 15 randomly selected RUZP rezoning applications submitted between 2018 and 2021, it was found that, on average, non-market rental units are generally being designed at sizes larger than the City's minimum unit size requirements.

As the RUZP encourages applicants to seek operating funding from other levels of government, including BC Housing, and generally RUZP rental units that exceed the minimum unit size regulations are already being proposed, staff propose amending the minimum unit size requirement for rental units to more closely align with the BC Housing's Design Guidelines. This would apply in all areas outside of the P11e/r SFU Neighbourhood District developed specifically for Simon Fraser University, which has smaller units permitted given the focus on providing housing for students. As shown on *Table 2*, this would result in minimum unit size increases for studios and two bedroom units, and a small decrease in size for one bedroom units. The proposed three bedroom rental unit increases in size to be consistent with the current minimum area applied to three bedroom strata units. To ensure all unit sizes are reported in whole numbers, the current minimum three bedroom unit of 904.20 sq.ft. is proposed to be 905 sq.ft. To bring further clarity to the bylaw, the proposed minimum unit sizes for rental units are also proposed to be applied to strata units. In this way, both rental and strata units have the same minimum unit areas.

Table 2. Summary of Proposed Minimum Unit Size Changes

| Multiple Family Unit Type | BC Housing Unit Size Guidelines | | Burnaby Current Minimum Unit Size | | | | Burnaby Proposed Minimum Unit Size | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | | | Strata Units | | Rental Units | | Strata or Rental Units* | |
| | m ² | sq.ft. | m ² | sq.ft. | m ² | sq.ft. | m ² | sq.ft. |
| Studio | 32.52 | 350 | 37 | 398.25 | 30 | 322.93 | 32.52 | 350 |
| 1 BR | 48.77 | 525 | 56 | 602.80 | 50 | 538.21 | 48.77 | 525 |
| 2 BR | 67.35 | 725 | 70 | 753.50 | 65 | 699.68 | 67.35 | 725 |
| 3 BR | 85.94 | 925 | 84 | 904.20 | 80 | 861.14 | 84.08 | 905 |

*1 or 2 Bedroom Units with a Den must increase the proposed minimum unit size by 5 m² (53.82 sq.ft.) and the 5m² must be allocated to the floor space of the Den.

3.4 Next Steps

Future related work that will be undertaken as part of the Planning and Development Department's work program will include:

- Financial analysis of the feasibility of 100% Market Rental buildings with 20% inclusionary units; and
- An implementation plan for the Protectionary Stream of the policy

This work is anticipated to be completed by Q1 2024.

If the proposed policy amendments are adopted by Council, the new policy would apply to new applicable rezoning applications under the RUZP Policy, and for active rezoning applications that have not yet achieved Second Reading. If authorized, the proposed *Zoning Bylaw* amendments would be brought forward in future reports in Fall 2023, including details on how the amended zoning regulations may apply to in-stream rezoning applications. Staff will monitor input on the proposed changes to the Rental Use Zoning Policy and summarize what we heard in a future report. Also, in line with ongoing action 8.1 of HOME: Burnaby's Housing and Homelessness Strategy, staff will continue to monitor and evaluate the implementation of RUZP in achieving rental housing and other community needs.

4.0 COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Currently, information on the RUZP is available on the City's website and in a brochure. Development and Urban Design staff also provide an overview of the policy in response to enquiries from developers through the Urban Development Institute (UDI). If the proposed amendments to the policy are adopted, staff would communicate with interested parties through a variety of methods. Staff would update and improve web content related to the policy and related *Zoning Bylaw* regulations, including development of a guide for developers. Staff will also inform the non-profit housing sector through BC Non-Profit Housing Association of the City's policy and the opportunities that it presents for its members.

The proposed *Zoning Bylaw* amendments will be detailed as part of future reporting to Council, and will require a Public Hearing prior to the adoption of the amendments. A notice will be published in two consecutive issues of the local newspaper in advance of the Public Hearing date.

5.0 FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funding for the financial analysis of the feasibility of 100% Market Rental buildings with 20% Inclusionary rental will be included as part of Planning and Development one time funding request list for 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

E.W. Kozak, General Manager Planning and Development

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 – Amended Rental Use Zoning Policy

Attachment 2 – Table of Policy Issues and amendments

REPORT CONTRIBUTORS

This report was prepared by Jesse Dill, Planner 3 and Carla Schuk, Planner 3, and reviewed by Mark Norton, Planner 3, Carl Isaak, Director Community Planning, Johannes Schumann, Director Development and Urban Design, and Lee-Ann Garnett, Deputy General Manager Planning and Development.



Rental Use Zoning Policy and Implementation


Overall Goal

To increase the provision of market and belownon-market rental housing in Burnaby and provide a balanced approach for the implementation of rental use zoning.

Policy Streams

1. Rental Replacement
2. Inclusionary Rental
3. Voluntary Rental Housing in Commercial Districts
4. Protection of Existing Rental Sites

Stream 1 - Rental Replacement



CONDITIONS

- a) Provision – Each site experiencing redevelopment involving the loss of purpose-built (non-stratified) multiple-family residential rental units and, if applicable, secondary rental units that are part of a larger site consolidation project, must replace those units at a ratio of one new rental unit for every existing rental unit lost to redevelopment.
- b) Applicability – This policy applies to all sites within a Community Plan area in the Official Community Plan experiencing redevelopment of:
- a. purpose-built (non-stratified) multiple-family rental buildings of five or more units, and
 - b. secondary market rental buildings with less than five units that are also being consolidated into a larger redevelopment project that includes a building that meets the above criteria
- within a Community Plan area in the Official Community Plan.
- b)c) Number – The obligation to replace rental units is based on the number of units shown on the most recently issued business license for the subject property or properties, or 20% of the total number of market (strata and rental) units derived from RM and RMs densities, whichever is greater. For clarity, occupied and vacant rental units must be replaced. Rezoning applicants are to submit a breakdown of the unit mix of the existing rental building with their rezoning application.
- e)d) Form – The replacement units must be of the same type (number of bedrooms), and meet or exceed the minimum unit size for that type under the RMr zoning district. As per the Tenant Assistance Policy, the applicant is responsible for accommodating accessibility needs of returning tenants within the replacement unit.
- d)e) Unit Mix – The unit mix of the replacement units should generally reflect those lost to redevelopment (e.g., one, two, and three or larger bedroom units), except where a not-for-profit operator and/or government partners have particular unit mix needs that target specific user groups, whether the units were occupied or vacant at the time of rezoning application submission. Any proposed changes to the unit mix would be subject to approval by the General Manager, Planning and Development.
- e)f) Tenants' Right of First Refusal – Rezoning applicants are required to provide all existing eligible tenants, as per the City's Tenant Assistance Policy, the opportunity to move into the new replacement units upon completion, by way of a tenant's right of first refusal. The applicant is required to provide notification six months and three months prior to the expected occupancy of the new replacement units. Eligible tenants will have until the day of move in to decide whether they will accept the replacement unit. First right of refusal is contingent on the eligible tenant remaining in good standing as per the RTA. Should an eligible tenant be evicted for cause during the interim period between move out and

occupancy of the replacement units, the applicant is not required to offer a replacement unit to this tenant.

- g) Tenant Assistance – the rezoning applicant is to contact the Renters Office prior to submitting a rezoning application. The applicant is also required to have completed its relevant initial obligations under the City’s Tenant Assistance Policy to the satisfaction of the Renters Office prior to Final Adoption.
- h) Operations –The rezoning applicant is encouraged to indicate its intentions with regard to the operation of the replacement units, such as whether a rental management arm of the developer, a non-profit housing provider, or a third party licensed property manager, will operate the replacement units, at the time of rezoning application submission.

Where a non-profit is not involved in the operation of replacement rental units, the owner of the units will be responsible for management and administration of the replacement units by a party that is:

- i) Licensed for Rental Property Management by the BC Financial Services Authority;
or
- ii) Experienced in rental property management and exempt from licensing requirements.

The owner of the replacement units is required to submit for audit statutory declarations and supporting documentation relating to conditions of this policy and the Tenant Assistance Policy as outlined in the Housing Agreement or Ground Lease, when requested by the City.

- i) Established Rents – The replacement units must be offered at rents that do not exceed the tenant's rent at the time of move out, plus any annual rent increases permitted under the Residential Tenancy Act (RTA) for the duration of time between move out at the application site and occupancy of the replacement unit. Replacement units for tenants who acted as caretakers for reduced rent at the rezoning application site will be provided at a rental rate that does not exceed the average rent that tenants with a similar unit type were paying at move out from the rezoning application site, plus annual rent increases allowed under the RTA. Units vacant prior to the eligibility date as determined in the City’s Tenant Assistance Policy will be replaced and tenanted as inclusionary units.

- f)j) Affordability – Rents of replacement units must meet the above requirements and a density offset is available to help deliver upon this required affordability level. If a tenant chooses not to exercise their right of first refusal, or moves out subsequent to occupying a replacement unit, the replacement unit will be converted into an Inclusionary Unit and must be rented at 20% below Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) Market Median Rents for the

applicable CMHC Market Rental Survey Zone. Affordability measures are to be protected and regulated by a Council-approved Housing Agreement and/or Section 219 Covenant, or ground lease, in the case of City ownership.

~~g)k) Funding – In order to achieve greater affordability for tenants, pursuit of funding under BC Housing and CMHC housing programs is required.~~

~~If the rezoning applicant an identified non-profit operator is successful in their application for secures senior government funding for the operation of the replacement units, and income testing of tenants is required under funding and eligibility criteria, a non-profit housing operator must first prioritize returning tenants for these units returning tenants must still be accommodated within the replacement units and cannot be subject to income testing or other eligibility criteria. The operator is encouraged to discuss this requirement with potential funders as early as possible, provided they meet funding and eligibility criteria. Provision of replacement units does not qualify for a grant from the City's Community Benefit Bonus Affordable Housing Reserve.~~

~~Should any returning tenants not qualify for a senior government funded unit, the applicant is responsible for accommodating returning tenants in other units in the development. Units may include inclusionary units, if available, or any below market or market rental or strata units in the development, with the units reverting back to its original tenure and affordability requirement, if any, once the returning tenant vacates the unit.~~

~~h)l) Zoning – The zoning applied to the replacement units shall be derived from the applicable corresponding Multiple-Family Rental (RMr) zoning district.~~

~~i)m) Development Density – The Gross Floor Area (GFA) for the replacement units will be derived from GFA associated with the RMr zoning district, which is additional and may be used in combination with density provisions in the applicable Community Plan.~~

~~j)n) Ownership – Replacement rental units cannot be stratified and/or individually owned, but can be owned by a private entity, if subdivided, with appropriate legal instruments in place that protect public investment and rents (such as i.e. Covenants and Housing Agreements).~~

~~k) Role of Non-Profit Organizations – Non-profit organizations may partner with developers and government (Federal/Provincial/Indigenous/Regional/City) to own and/or maintain replacement rental units. While the involvement of non-profit organizations is preferable, it is not required. However, where a non-profit is not involved in the management of replacement rental units, the owner of the units will be responsible for:~~

~~l) –~~

~~m) having an independent third party manage tenancies in accordance with an adopted Housing Agreement or ground lease agreement;~~

~~n) –~~

~~o) having an independent third party provide maintenance services for the units/building in accordance with an approved maintenance schedule; and,~~

~~p) submitting for audit, statutory declarations and supporting documentation relating to conditions of this policy, when requested by the City.~~

Stream 2 – Inclusionary Rental



CONDITIONS

- Provision** – Each rezoning site in a Community Plan area is required to provide 20% of the total number of market (strata and rental) units derived from RM or RMs densities as below **non-market** rental housing, rented at 20% below CMHC Market Median Rents for the applicable CMHC Market Rental Survey Zone.
- Applicability** – This policy applies to all residential or commercial sites in Community Plan areas, except for sites zoned RM1, RM2, and RM6.
- Form** – Inclusionary units can be located within a mixed tenure building (airspace parcel), a podium (airspace parcel), or a stand-alone building (fee simple or airspace parcel). For stand-alone structures, wood frame structures may be considered insofar that they meet Community Plan objectives, Zoning Bylaw requirements, and BC Building Code conditions. The inclusionary units must meet or exceed the minimum unit size for that unit type under the applicable RMr zoning district.

d) Unit Mix – The unit mix of the inclusionary units should generally reflect that of the balance of the proposed development (e.g., one, two, and three or larger bedroom units), except where a not-for-profit operator and/or government partners have particular unit mix needs that target specific user groups.

e) Zoning – The zoning applied to the inclusionary units shall be the relevant RMr zoning district.

e)f) Development Density – The Gross Floor Area (GFA) for the inclusionary units will be derived from GFA associated with the RMr zoning district, which is additional and may be used in combination with density provisions in the applicable Community Plan.

g) Ownership – Inclusionary rental units cannot be stratified and individually owned, but if subdivided, can be owned either publicly or privately.

h) Operations – Non-profit housing providers may partner with developers and government (Federal/Provincial/City) to own and/or operate inclusionary rental units. While the involvement of non-profit housing providers is preferable, it is not required. However, where a non-profit is not involved in the operation of inclusionary rental units, the owner of the units will be responsible for management and administration of the inclusionary units by hiring a party that is:

a. Licensed for Rental Property Management by the BC Financial Services Authority;
or

b. Experienced in rental property management and exempt from licensing requirements.

Owners of inclusionary units are required to submit for audit statutory declarations and supporting documentation relating to conditions of this policy as outlined in the Housing Agreement.

The rezoning applicant is encouraged to indicate its intentions with regard to the operation of the inclusionary units, such as whether a rental management arm of the developer, a non-profit housing provider, or a third party property manager, will operate the inclusionary units, at the time of rezoning application submission. Non-profit operators will be made an additional party to the required Housing Agreement or Ground Lease.

i) Affordability – Rents of inclusionary units must ~~be rented at not exceed~~ 20% below CMHC Market Median Rents for the applicable CMHC Market Rental Survey Zone, with annual increases not to exceed that allowable under the RTA. Units must be rented to tenant

households with gross annual incomes not exceeding BC Housing's Housing Income Limits. A density offset is available to help deliver upon this required affordability level.

In addition, any use of RMr density above the required inclusionary requirement is to be set at a 1:1 ratio of market and CMHC Market Median Rents (e.g. for every one market rental unit proposed, an equivalent one unit at CMHC market median rents is required). Units rented at CMHC Market Median rents must be tenanted by households with gross annual incomes not exceeding 20% above BC Housing's Housing Income Limits. The provision of this 1:1 ratio of market and CMHC Market Median Rents may not seek a density offset. Affordability measures are to be protected and regulated by a Council-approved Housing Agreement and/or Section 219 Covenant, or ground lease, in the case of City ownership.

~~f)j)~~ Funding – In order to achieve greater affordability, pursuit of funding under BC Housing ~~and or~~ CMHC housing programs is ~~required~~encouraged. In addition, a density offset is available for provision of the required ~~below non~~-market inclusionary units. Provision of inclusionary units does not qualify for a grant from the City's Community Benefit Bonus Affordable Housing Reserve.

Role of Non-Profit Organizations – ~~Non-profit organizations may partner with developers and government (Federal/Provincial/City) to own and/or maintain inclusionary rental units. While the involvement of non-profit organizations is preferable, it is not necessary. However, where a non-profit is not involved in the management of inclusionary rental units, the owner of the units will be responsible for~~

÷

- ~~i) having an independent third party manage tenancies in accordance with an adopted Housing Agreement or ground lease agreement;~~
- ~~ii) having an independent third party provide maintenance services for the units/building in accordance with an approved maintenance schedule; and,~~
- ~~iii) submitting for audit, statutory declarations and supporting documentation relating to conditions of this policy, when requested by the City.~~

Stream 3 – Rental Housing in Commercial Districts




DETAILS

- a) Provision – Rental dwelling units are permitted in the C1, C2, C3, C8 and C9 Commercial Districts and the P11e District, and may be voluntarily provided, subject to the following:
- i) that the use is included as part of a Comprehensive Development (CD) plan subject to the CD District;
 - ii) that all rental dwelling units are located above the first storey;
 - iii) that a completely separate public entrance to the residential accommodation be provided from the first storey front elevation, except:
 - on a corner lot, access may be from the first storey side street elevation; or,
 - where a public pedestrian walkway exists, access may be from the first storey walkway's elevation; and,
 - iv) that the gross floor area (GFA) attributable to the rental dwelling units, including access, is less than the GFA attributable to all other permitted commercial uses.
- b) Applicability – This policy applies to all sites with either commercial or mixed-use designations in Community Plans, and which have either Town Centre, Urban Village or Mixed-Use designations in the Official Community Plan.

- c) Zoning – The zoning applied to the rental units shall be C1, C2, C3, C8, C9, P11e, or the respective use of RMr Districts for sites developing under multiple-family zoning designations.
- d) Development Density – The GFA for the rental units is derived from the underlying commercial district. The residential rental floor area must not exceed the provided commercial floor area. That is, (i.e. the maximum permitted residential floor area is-will never exceed 49%, with the remaining 51% to be commercial floor area). -The commercial floor area is not required to be maximized, so long as the residential floor area remains at or below 49% of the commercial floor area. On sites with commercial designations where residential rental uses are proposed, access to such density can only occur if the inclusionary requirement and all multiple family residential densities, including bonus density ~~-bonus~~, are first fully utilized.
- e) Ownership – Rental units cannot be stratified and individually owned, but can be owned privately, subject to subdivision.
- f) Affordability – As a voluntary provision, established-affordable rental rates are not required for units achieved under this Stream. In order to achieve greater affordability, pursuit of funding under BC Housing and CMHC housing programs is encouraged.
- g) Form – Building forms and limitations thereto (siting and height) indicated in Community Plans must be adhered to, in consideration of CD plan proposals involving rental use zoning in commercial and mixed-use developments.

Stream 4 – Protection of Existing Rental Sites



CONDITIONS

- a) Applicability – All properties currently zoned with an RM, C, or P District that accommodate multiple-family residential, commercial, or mixed-use buildings containing five or more purpose-built rental units are to be rezoned to the corresponding RMr District by the City.
- b) Scope – All properties that currently have purpose-built multiple-family residential units throughout the City.
- c) Exceptions – All single and two-family properties with secondary suites; all secondary multiple-family strata rental; all institutional seniors, group home, and supportive/assisted living rental; and all current Comprehensive Development (CD) zoned purpose-built rental buildings.
- d) Varying Conditions – Council, at its discretion, may permit the redevelopment of purpose-built rental sites with new strata or mixed-tenure (strata and rental) developments on a site by site basis under CD rezoning. Sites undergoing CD rezoning will be subject to rental replacement provisions outlined in Stream 1.

ATTACHMENT 2

| Section in Policy | Topic | Issue | Proposed Amendment |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2019 Report | Income eligibility | 2019 report includes income eligibility for Inclusionary units to be BC Housing HILs, but it is not included in the policy. | Add income eligibility to policy. |
| Replacement Rental | Provision | 2022 TAP extends replacement requirement to secondary rental units that are part of a larger site consolidation redevelopment project. | Add new replacement requirements to the Provision section of policy. |
| Replacement Rental | Applicability | 2022 TAP extends eligibility for replacement units to secondary rental units that are part of a larger site consolidation project. | Add new replacement requirements to Applicability section of policy. |
| Replacement Rental | Number of units to be replaced | 2019 report states that vacant units must be replaced, but this is missing from the policy. | Add requirement to replace vacant units to Number section of policy doc. |
| Replacement Rental | Number of units to be replaced | Existing unit mix is often not provided when a rezoning application is submitted but is needed to determine the replacement unit requirements. | Add wording to provide existing unit mix breakdown with rezoning application to Number section of policy doc. |
| Replacement Rental | Form | Wording in policy encourages building to minimum unit size rather than above the minimum. Include wording that would encourage building units above the minimum size. | Add “or exceed” to policy doc. |
| Replacement Rental | Replacement ratio and unit mix | Clarify that unit mix for replacement units should be the same as the existing unit mix to ensure that the returning tenants will receive the same unit type as they rented in the prior building. This will facilitate implementation of TAP. Changes to the unit mix of replacement units would be subject to approval by the General Manager Planning and Development. | Change the unit mix from “generally” to “the same as” what existed before. Make changes subject to the approval of the General Manager. |

| Section in Policy | Topic | Issue | Proposed Amendment |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Replacement Rental | Accessibility/Unit mix | TAP requires that developers accommodate returning tenants' accessibility needs, but the RUZP does not include this information. | Add wording to the unit mix section about accessibility requirements arising from TAP. |
| Replacement Rental | Tenants' Right of first refusal | Council has previously directed that tenants be given until move in day to exercise their right of first refusal for the replacement unit. The TAP Guide and housing agreement terms require this, but it is missing from the RUZP. | Add wording as to when tenants have until to exercise their ROFR. |
| Replacement Rental | Tenants' Right of First refusal | The policy currently says all existing tenants must be offered replacement units. However, not all existing tenants are eligible for benefits under TAP. | Change wording in policy doc to say all eligible tenant(s) must be offered replacement units. |
| Replacement Rental | Tenant Assistance | Staff report says that tenants must be suitably housed and accommodated during redevelopment. RUZP does not refer to the TAP even though TAP applies to all replacement stream projects. | Add new section about TAP with wording to encourage applicants to contact the Renters Office early in the process and complete the initial TAP requirements to the satisfaction of the Renters Office before the rezoning application will be brought to Council for consideration of Final Adoption. |
| Replacement Rental | Operation of replacement units | RUZP currently encourages non-profit or third party operation of the replacement units. It would be beneficial for implementing the policy if the proposed operator of the units is indicated as early as possible in the application process, preferably at time of application submission. | Add a new section about operation of the replacement units Encourage non-profit involvement at time of rezoning application. |
| Replacement Rental | Established rents | 2022 TAP added a provision for the calculation of rents for caretaker units. TAP refers to RUZP for required rent levels, so need to add wording about caretaker unit rents for replacement units. | Add wording to Established Rents section of policy. |

| Section in Policy | Topic | Issue | Proposed Amendment |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Replacement Rental | Affordability | Clarify that a replacement unit that is not tenanted by a returning tenant will convert into an Inclusionary Unit. | Add wording to policy. |
| Replacement Rental | Affordability | Policy does not specify that a density offset is available to offset the cost of providing the replacement units. | Add wording to section specifying that density offset is available to provide replacement units. |
| Replacement Rental | Funding | Policy says that government funding is required to achieve greater affordability of the replacement units, but there are challenges with requiring government funding - returning tenants cannot be excluded by funding eligibility requirements. Policy currently suggests that returning tenants who are ineligible for a subsidized unit be housed elsewhere in the development. However, operator will likely own the non-market units, but won't own strata units where returning tenants would be housed and strata units are typically sold prior to occupancy, so wouldn't be available as an alternative. | Remove the requirement for government funding from Replacement Stream. |
| Replacement Rental | Ownership | Needs clarity on how the units can be owned by a private entity, i.e. ASP? | Revise wording of this section to clarify that units must be subdivided if they are to be owned by a separate entity. |
| Replacement Rental | Role of Non-Profit | We want experienced non-profit housing providers to operate these units, not just any non-profit organization. | Change from Non-Profit Organization to Non-Profit Housing Provider. |
| Replacement Rental | Role of Non-Profit | As non-profit involvement with replacement units is unlikely, consider being silent on whether it is preferred or not. | As this section is less about the role of the non-profit and more about the operations in the event of no non-profit, move this info into the new section called Operations. |
| | | | |

| Section in Policy | Topic | Issue | Proposed Amendment |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Inclusionary Rental | Provision | Policy says each site in a community plan area, but inclusionary units are only required if rezoning. | Specify rezoning site in policy doc. |
| Inclusionary Rental | Form | Section is silent on unit size. To be consistent with the replacement units section, add same wording about minimum unit sizes. | Add wording to be consistent with replacement stream. |
| Inclusionary Rental | Development Density | The inclusionary section is missing the development density section that is in the replacement unit section. | Add new section Development Density and use same wording from the replacement stream. |
| Inclusionary Rental | Operations | Policy is silent on operations and when a rezoning applicant must indicate who will be operating the units. | Add section, use wording from replacement stream. |
| Inclusionary Rental | Funding | Provincial and Federal funding does not work well with the non-market units created through this policy. | Change wording from required to encouraged or “may be pursued”. |
| Inclusionary Rental | Funding | Section refers to below-market units instead of non-market units. | Change wording from below market units to non-market units. |
| Inclusionary Rental | Role of Non-Profit Organizations | This section is redundant with the addition of the operations section. | Delete this section. |
| | | | |
| Rental in Commercial | Development density | Clarify that the portion of the commercial density that is used for residential rental must never exceed 49% of the portion of commercial density used for commercial uses. Current wording could be misinterpreted as 51% of the base commercial density must be used for commercial purposes before any of the commercial density can be used for residential rental uses. | Change wording to reflect what is required (if necessary). |
| Rental in Commercial | Ownership | Policy states that the units can be owned privately, but missing reference to subdivision. | Add reference to subdivision. |
| | | | |



Meeting June 14, 2023

File: 16000 01

COMMITTEE REPORT

TO: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (PDC)
FROM: GENERAL MANAGER PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
SUBJECT: RENTAL HOUSING SUMMARY
PURPOSE: To provide an update on the Rental Housing Summary

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Planning and Development Committee forward the report titled “Rental Housing Summary” dated June 14, 2023 and outlining the number and status of market and non-market rental units being developed in Burnaby as of April 3, 2023, to Council for information.

1.0 POLICY SECTION

There are several City policies that support the provision of market and non-market rental housing in Burnaby including: *HOME: Housing and Homelessness Strategy* (2021), *Burnaby Housing Needs Report* (2021), *Rental Use Zoning Policy* (2020), *Mayor’s Task Force on Community Housing Final Report* (2019), *Burnaby Social Sustainability Strategy* (2011), and the *Official Community Plan* (1998).

2.0 BACKGROUND

At the meeting of September 7, 2022, Council received a Rental Housing Summary report providing an update on recent rental housing developments in Burnaby, including developments on City lands, non-profit led housing developments and rental units achieved through the City’s Rental Use Zoning Policy (RUZP). The report outlined market and non-market rental units up to June 30, 2022.

This report provides an update to the September 7, 2022 report regarding changes in the number and status of market and non-market rental units from June 30, 2022 up to April 3, 2023. The number of non-market and market rental units in Burnaby as of April 3, 2023 are presented in graphic format in *Attachment 1*.

3.0 GENERAL INFORMATION**3.1 DEFINITIONS**

Reporting on rental housing in Burnaby includes the following definitions:

- **Non-market Rental Units:** refers to rental housing secured at specific below market rental rates through a Housing Agreement or lease agreement.

- **Market Rental Units:** refers to rental units that are privately owned with rental rates that are determined by what the market is willing to pay.
- **Replacement Rental Units:** refers to units that must be replaced when an existing purpose built multi-family rental building is redeveloped. Replacement rental units are secured as non-market rental units and are offered to tenants displaced by the redevelopment at rates not exceeding the tenant's rent level at the time of move out, plus any annual increases permitted by the Residential Tenancy Branch. When the returning tenant declines the replacement unit or the tenancy of a returning tenant in a Replacement Rental Unit ends, the unit becomes a Required Inclusionary Unit for future tenancies.
- **Required Inclusionary Units (Burnaby Affordable):** refers to non-market rental units secured through the Rental Use Zoning Policy with rental rates at 20% below Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) market median rents. Eligible tenant households are those earning not more than BC Housing's Housing Income Limits (HILs).
- **Optional Inclusionary Units:** refers to non-market rental units secured through the Rental Use Zoning Policy with rental rates at CMHC market median rents. Eligible tenant households are those earning not more than 20% above BC Housing HILs.
- **Projects on City Lands:** refers to developments where City owned land is leased to selected non-profit housing providers to build and operate non-market housing. Affordability for this rental housing is secured through a ground lease and may include funding from other orders of government and agencies.
- **Non-Profit Led and Other Government Projects:** refers to developments that are owned and operated by non-profit housing providers, or government agencies or corporations, on public or private lands. This housing is typically built and operated through funding from other levels of government.

3.2. NON-MARKET AND MARKET RENTAL PROJECTS UPDATE

The following table summarizes the non-market and market rental units in Burnaby as of April 3, 2023:

| | | Non -Market Rental Units | | | | | Total Non-Market Rental Units | Market Rental Units | Units Total |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | Projects on City Lands | Non-Profit Led and other Government Projects | Units created under RUZP on private lands | | | | | |
| | | | | Replacement Units | Required Inclusionary or "Burnaby Affordable" Units | Optional Inclusionary Units | | | |
| Development Stage | Tenanted | 191 | 255 | - | - | - | 446 | 826 | 1,272 |
| | Under Construction | 454 | 194 | 336 | 89 | 5 | 1,078 | 562 | 1,640 |
| | Final Adoption | 129 | - | 246 | 65 | 35 | 475 | 102 | 577 |
| | Rezoning in Process | 585 | 348 | 1,753 | 1,860 | 452 | 4,998 | 3,234 | 8,232 |
| | Units Total | 1,359 | 797 | 2,335 | 2,014 | 492 | 6,997 | 4,724 | 11,721 |

The total numbers of non-market and market rental units are subject to change as many of these applications are still early in the design process and some applications may be cancelled or put on hold.

Additionally, there are over 4,000 non-market rental units and over 6,000 market rental units proposed through the City's various Master Plan projects. The units will be included in the table above once site-specific rezonings are received.

The following changes have taken place since the September 7, 2022 Rental Housing Update report:

- A total of 342 non-market and market rental units were tenanted. This includes two City lands projects with a combined total of 139 non-market rental units located at 3755 Banff Avenue (BACI) and 6551 Sussex Avenue (New Vista) which were tenanted.
- The total number of non-market rental units under construction had a net increase of 558 units. This includes two City lands projects being developed by Catalyst with a combined total of 337 non-market rental units located at 6889 Royal Oak Avenue and 7392 16th Avenue (formerly addressed as Kingsway) are now under construction. The anticipated completion date for these projects is 2025. One non-profit led project with 155 non-market units located at 4277 Norland Avenue (Dania) is now under construction. The anticipated completion date for this project is 2025.
- One City lands project with 129 non-market rental units located at 6488 Byrnespark Drive (M'akola) was approved by Council.
- Ten new RUZP rezoning applications were received for a total of 84 projects.
- Two RUZP projects with a cumulative 141 non-market rental units were approved by Council. The projects are located at 5888 Olive Avenue and 4170 James Street.
- Five RUZP projects with 257 non-market rental units (220 Replacement units, 32 Required Inclusionary units and 5 Optional Inclusionary units) are now under construction. These projects are located at:
 - 7907 Edmonds Street
 - 4990 Bennett Street
 - 6468 Willingdon Avenue
 - 4175 Central Boulevard
 - 4281 Grange Street

The anticipated completion date for these projects is 2025-2026.

4.0 COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The information in this report will be shared on the City's website.

5.0 FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Not applicable.

Respectfully submitted,

E.W. Kozak, General Manager Planning and Development

ATTACHMENT

Attachment 1 – Rental Housing Summary Summer 2023

REPORT CONTRIBUTORS

This report was prepared by Danais Ponce, Planner 2, and reviewed by Carla Schuk, Planner 3, Carl Isaak, Director Community Planning, and Lee-Ann Garnett, Deputy General Manager Planning and Development.



Summer 2023

Rental Housing Summary


As of April 3, 2023

11,721

total rental units at all stages of the development process*



NON-MARKET RENTAL UNITS ACHIEVED THROUGH CITY-OWNED LANDS



An additional **4,000+** non-market rental units are anticipated to be delivered through the City's various Master Plan projects.

The **net changes** in rental housing data between **June 30, 2022** and **April 3, 2023**:

- » **342** tenanted rental units (159 non-market and 183 market)
- » **558** non-market rental units under construction

» *Development process

includes units that are tenanted, under construction, have received Council approval, and are in the rezoning process.

» Market rental units

refers to rental units that are privately owned with rental rates that are determined by the market.

» Non-market rental units

refers to rental housing offered at specific below-market rental rates that are secured through a Housing Agreement or other legal agreement.

The non-market units include units:

- on City-owned lands
- developed by non-profits in private lands
- achieved through the Rental Use Zoning Policy (RUZP)

» Rental Use Zoning Policy (RUZP)

creates opportunities for new rental housing in Burnaby, and protects existing rental housing, by implementing rental zones with specific rental requirements and incentives.

» Master plan

a conceptual plan that defines the vision and development objectives for a site.

For more info: [Burnaby.ca/Housing](https://burnaby.ca/Housing)

