

# 2018 Board of Variance Notice of Appeal Form

## OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

Burnaby City Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby BC, V5G 1M2, Phone: 604-294-7290 Email: clerks@burnaby.ca

Applicant						
Name	of Applicant	Andrew !	Beunk			
Mailin	<u></u>	Andrew Beunk 8448 13th Ave				
City/T	own	Burnaby	Postal Code V	3N 269		
Phone	Number(s) (H) <u>6</u>	04 517 8772	Postal Code <u>V</u> (C) 778 837 gmail.com	8315		
Email	-	abeunko	gmail.com			
Property						
Name	of Owner	Andrew &	T. Kimberley	Beunk.		
Civic Address of Property		8448 13th Ave.				
		Andrew & T. Kimberley Beunk.  8448 13th Ave.  Burnaby				
I hereby declare that the information submitted in support of this application is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct in all aspects, and further that my plans have no conflict with municipal bylaws other than those applied for with in this application.						
Date 0// /9 / / 7		Applicant Signature				
		Office Use Or				
Appeal Date Feb 7 2019 Appeal Number BV#						
Required Documents:  Fee Application Receipt Building Department Referral Letter Hardship Letter from Applicant Site Plan of Subject Property						

Any documents submitted in support of this Board of Variance Appeal will be made available to the Public

January 12, 2019

City of Burnaby – Building and Planning Dept.

RE: BOV Application – 8448 13th Ave., Burnaby – Garage setback

Dear Sir / Madame,

My wife and I purchased our home at 8448 13<sup>th</sup> Ave in April of 2013. I serve as a Pastor of a neighbourhood church and our home was purchased because of its nearby location to our church.

Prior to closing the deal on our home we submitted a site plan of our lot, along with a proposed renovation plan, to the City in order to review initial approval. The plan dated March 2013 was reviewed by the City and we were given an initial green light to proceed. The process led us to believe we had purchased a property with no outstanding building issues.

In the following months, a full building permit application was submitted and approved. On both the site plan reviewed in March 2013 and the building permit application approved in June 2013, the existing garage on our lot was shown, complete with dimensions indicating its precise location. At no time did the City identify that the garage violated the setback allowance.

In the fall of last year we were made aware by the City that our Building Permit file was still open. Both I and my builder were surprised by this because we had not heard anything for almost 5 years about an outstanding permit file. We both remembered providing our inspector with the requested engineering review that we thought was necessary to complete the project and close the file. Now, 5 years later, we were told that the engineering review was not satisfactory.

Now, towards the end of 2018, as we are trying to close out the Building Permit file, the Plan checker identified that one corner of the garage is 2 ½ inches too close to the western property line. This was the first time the issue had been identified in spite of the fact that our site plan had been reviewed by the Building Dept. at least twice five years earlier.

The garage is approximately 28 years old. Never has my neighbour expressed any concern that garage is too close to the property line (see attached letter from my neighbor, Mrs. Nancy Burrows). She has lived in her home more than 60 years.

Modifying the existing garage to bring in into compliance with the current setback allowance will require a major renovation, likely a complete reconstruction.

I kindly request that you recognize this as an unnecessary burden and hardship for me and approve my application for a variance.

As I shared with both Mr. Luka Mladin and Mr. Peter Kushnir since even before owning the home I have done all that felt I could do in order to secure proper Building reviews, and permit applications. In fact, a permit application that I submitted in Sept. 2019 for a solar panel project was what alerted the Planning Dept. that my previous Building Permit was still not closed. I write this because as a citizen of Burnaby I have from the beginning sought to do what I thought was necessary to ensure my property meets Code requirements.

I kindly request, that as a citizen trying to do the right thing, you not unnecessarily penalize me for a 2 ½ inch setback violation of a structure that was built around 28 years ago.

My sincere thanks to you for considering this application.

Warm regards/

Rev. Andrew Beunk

Mrs. T. K. Beunk



### **BOARD OF VARIANCE REFERRAL LETTER**

DATE: January 15, 2019	This is <b>not</b> an application.		
DEADLINE: January 15, 20	— · ·		
APPLICANT NAME: Andre	to the Clerk's office (ground floor) when you		
<b>APPLICANT ADDRESS: 84</b>	make your Board of		
TELEPHONE: 778-837-8315	Variance application.		
PROJECT			
DESCRIPTION: Addition, in	nterior alteration	s and carport enclosu	re to ESFD
ADDRESS: 8448 13 <sup>th</sup> Ave			
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	LOT: 8	DL: 25	PLAN: NWP1465

Building Permit BLD13-00402 has been issued but will require a revision that will be denied by the Building Department because the design is not in compliance with Burnaby Zoning Bylaw No. 4742:

#### **Zone R5 / Section 6.6(2)(d)**

#### **COMMENTS:**

The applicant currently has a building permit for an addition and interior alterations but has also enclosed the carport without permit to an existing single family dwelling. In order to allow the revised Building Permit application to proceed, the applicant requests that the following variance be granted:

1) To vary Section 6.6(2)(d) – "Accessory Buildings and Uses" of the Zoning Bylaw requirement for the minimum side yard setback from 3.94 feet to 3.7 feet.

Note:

The applicant recognizes that should the project contain additional characteristics in contravention of the Zoning By-law, a future appeal(s) may be required.

The applicability of this variance, if granted, is limited to the scope of the proposal shown on the attached plans.

All principal building projections into the resulting front yard will conform to the requirements of Section 6.12.

Fences and retaining walls will conform to the requirements of Section 6.14.

LM Peter Kushnir

Deputy Chief Building Inspector



