

**The following items of correspondence  
were received in opposition to Rezoning  
Reference #13-14.**

January 10, 2015

City of Burnaby Council,  
c/o Office of the City Clerk,  
4949 Canada Way,  
Burnaby, B.C. V5G 1M2

Rez Ref # 13-14  
Bylaw # 13433

Re: Rezoning Application 13-14 Public Hearing January 27<sup>th</sup>, 2015

Another 37 story residential tower. There is no question every area of Burnaby is growing. There are high density residential towers either planned, under construction or just completed in almost every corner of our city.

The only thing that isn't growing is the Burnaby Hospital. With all this growth and with our aging population, the Burnaby Hospital should be integral part of the plan for Burnaby.

While the plan for the Burnaby Hospital is not entirely the responsibility of our local City Government, it is our City Council's responsibility to ensure that the Burnaby Hospital can handle the population growth planned by the city.

Our city Government requires developers to pay fees for Schools, Parks, and for allowing increased density. Why not a fee for the Burnaby Hospital expansion/relocation?

At the very least a portion of the \$8.2 million dollar density bonus generated from this rezoning application should be going to the expansion/relocation of the Burnaby Hospital.

I'm sure that almost every Burnaby family has had some interaction with the Burnaby Hospital. We all deserve a facility that can provide the best possible timely care. We need to ensure that our Burnaby City Government does everything in their power to make it happen!

Yours Truly,

Ken Pett

402 - 6152 Kathleen Ave.  
Burnaby BC V5H4K8

PS I have taken the time to express my concerns regarding this rezoning application. My expectation from Council is the courtesy of a reply addressing my input. Thanks in advance.

**Stewart, Gillian**

---

**From:** Frederick Boudrias  
**Sent:** January-18-15 5:36 PM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Subject:** Burnaby Rezoning Reference #13-14 6592/6650 Dunblane Avenue and 6579/6611 Marlborough Avenue

City of Burnaby

Office of City Clerk

Attn: D. Back, City Clerk  
S. Cleave, Deputy City Clerk

Re: Burnaby Rezoning Reference #13-14 6592/6650 Dunblane Avenue and 6579/6611 Marlborough Avenue

Dear sirs,

I am writing because I have received a notice for a public hearing on this matter for January 27 at 7:00 pm, which I am unable to attend due to other commitments. However, as a resident of 6661 Marlborough, I would like to express my strong opposition to this project.

I have been living in this neighborhood with my wife for the past 4 years, we plan to continue living in the neighborhood as we both work near by; our lives are here.

This 37 story apartment construction will inconvenience our daily lives and routine as well as many other residents and families in the area.

Living next to a construction site will directly affect our quality of life due to the dust, dirt, and noise created from erecting a 37 story building. I suffer from severe asthma and an increase in any dust and dirt is an enormous health concern for myself.

I drive to work on a daily basis and any re-routing of traffic in the area throughout various stages of the project and having large construction vehicles and cement trucks will cause further disruptions and delays for myself and others.

Please note and consider my very strong opposition to this project on the public hearing on January 27th.

Sincerely,

Frederick Boudrias

Address:  
6-6661 Marlborough Avenue  
Burnaby, BC  
V5H 3M2

Rez Ref # 13-14  
Bylaw # 13433

**Stewart, Gillian**

---

**From:** A Sepulveda  
**Sent:** January-18-15 4:35 PM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Subject:** Burnaby Rezoning Reference #13-14 6592/6650 Dunblane Avenue and 6579/6611 Marlborough Avenue

City of Burnaby  
Office of City Clerk  
Attn: D. Back, City Clerk  
S. Cleave, Deputy City Clerk

Rez Ref # 13-14  
Bylaw # 13433

Re: Burnaby Rezoning Reference #13-14 6592/6650 Dunblane Avenue and 6579/6611 Marlborough Avenue

Dear sirs,

I am writing because I have received a notice for a public hearing on this matter for January 27 at 7:00 pm, which I am unable to attend due to work commitments. However, as a resident of 6661 Marlborough, I would like to express my strong opposition to this project.

My Husband and I have been living in this neighborhood for the past 4 years, we plan to continue living in the neighborhood as we both work near by; our lives are here.

This 37 story apartment construction will inconvenience my daily life and routine as well as many other residents and families in the area.

Living next to a construction site will directly affect our quality of life due to the dust, dirt, and noise created from erecting a 37 story building. I would like to emphasize the major health concern that the dust and dirt is for my husband, who suffers from severe asthma.

As well as re-routing traffic throughout various stages of the project and having large construction vehicles and cement trucks will cause further disruptions and delays in our daily lives.

Please note and consider my very strong opposition to this project on the public hearing on January 27th.

Sincerely,

Alejandra Sepulveda  
Address:  
6-6661 Marlborough Avenue  
Burnaby, BC  
V5H 3M2

**Stewart, Gillian**

---

**From:** Metroserv Vancouver  
**Sent:** January-21-15 9:19 PM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Subject:** tenants affected by proposed bylaw 6650/6592 dunblane Ave and 6579/6611 Marlborough ave

Dear Sir or Madam,

6592/6650 Dunblane Ave and 6579/6611 Marlborough Ave

We are the tenants currently living in unit 208- 6650 Dunblane Ave, Burnaby.

We received a notice from landlord this week and be informed that we will be evicted from this rented unit after landlord ( Polygon Development ) make application to City Burnaby.

As a tenant, we lived here for nearly Four years and all the tenants in this building are rent from landloard. Many of them rent and live here over years.

As a tenant, we don't have much power to say NO to landlord development plans. Since Polygon Development purchased the building with other nearby 3 building from previous landlord two year ago, we knew that we are going to be priced out from metrotown areas.

I personally have two childrens. My husband work very hard to gain income to home to bring up two childrens. After income tax and household expenses, we did not have enough money to buy a one bedroom flat in this area.

Currently, I paid about 800 dollar per month for rent one bedroom flat in current building (number 6650). However, for same size one bedroom flat in new highrising building, it could cost me over \$2500 per month just for mortgage payment.

Moreover, there was very rare flat unit in those newly developed highrising building available for rent to public. Most people who purchased those new high rising building are foreign investors from Asian country. They were not necessary living here day to day in Metrotown. They purchased as a second home or for holiday vacation.

I gave you an example for the new high rising built by Polygon development ltd in 2012 in Metrotown. The building is just on Nelson Ave ( 4860 metrotown bikeway). In the night, when I pass by the building many times, I notice only half of the flat unit have lighting inside ( means it was truly occupied by people). Most time, only 50% of flat unit is occupied by day to day local residents. Those new high rising building priced at least 350,000, this price is out of reach by most of working class in Burnaby.

I also notice in recent years the previoully existing rent units in metrotown area became disappear to be available for public rent. Many of these building were taken over by new developers. The new developer are looking for profit and simply tear down the old building for high rise. The price of those high rise are very high, local working class can not afford to buy a decent place to live. plus, The new high rise are very rare to be available for public rent to local tenants.

Rez Ref # 13-14  
Bylaw # 13433

Everyone is winning in this new development. However, only local tenants like us, humble local working class who can not afford to buy house and keep rent, will be evicted and priced out from this area.

I talked to several of my neighbour who have similar concerns about this new development trend in metrotown area. We feel angry but nothing can be done to address this problem. We need an affordable place to rent and live in Metrotown. After several years living here, we have social ties in metrotown, our family doctor, children's school, local bank are all in Metrotown. We don't want to move but have no choice. Plus, there is less and less flats to be available for rent in metrotown and nearby areas.

What can we do to address this house crisis - shortage of affordable rent units in Metrotown.

Sherry Yi Chen

A mother of two children

**Stewart, Gillian**

---

**From:** annie cai  
**Sent:** January-23-15 2:07 PM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Subject:** by law no. 13433, rezoning reference #13-14

Rez Ref # 13-14  
Bylaw # 13433

dear sir or madam,

i am the resident of 208-6695 dunblane ave, bby, bc v5h 3k5

i oppose this rezoning plan because it will affect the living environment

thanks

yi qing cai

**Stewart, Gillian**

---

**From:** Pauline Wallin  
**Sent:** January-27-15 3:07 PM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Cc:** Pauline Wallin  
**Subject:** Refining reference 13-14

re: the proposed 37 storey apartment proposal 6592/6650 Dunblaine avenue and 6579/6611 Marlborough, my property is 5030 Grimmer Street which is directly north of the proposed high rise. A 37 storey high rise would block most direct sunlight from my property and therefore would not only reduce the enjoyment of my living area but also would potentially devalue the property itself.

So I firmly oppose with development as is and would only support if the height was cut in half or more. I am a senior citizen and will not be attending the open house but would hope that the livability of the surrounding area would be better taken into consideration for such a project.

Sincerely,

Pauline Wallin,  
5030 Grimmer Street

Sent from my iPad

Rez Ref # 13-14  
Bylaw # 13433