# 2021 Board of Variance Notice of Appeal Form 

## OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

Burnaby City Hall, 4949 Canada Way, Burnaby BC, V5G 1M2, Phone: 604-294-7290 Email: clerks@burnaby.ca


Property

Name of Owner
Civic Address of Property


I hereby declare that the information submitted in support of this application is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct in all aspects, and further that my plans have no conflict with municipal bylaws other than those applied for with inythis application.


## Office Use Only

Appeal Date September O2 Appeal Number BVH 6437 Required Documents:

. Fee Application Receipt<br>Lo Building Department Referral Letter<br>Hardship Letter from Applicant<br>Site Plan of Subject Property

Any documents submitted in support of this Board of
Variance Appeal will be made available to the public

## BOARD OF VARIANCE REFERRAL LETTER

| DATE: July' 29, 2021 | This is not an application. <br> Please submit this letter to <br> the Clerk's office (ground <br> floor) when you make your <br> Board of Variance <br> application. |
| :--- | :--- |
| DEADLINE: August 3, 2021 for the September 2, 2021 hearing. |  |
| APPLICANT NAME: DCM Projects Ltd. |  |
| APPLICANT ADDRESS: \#302 4885 Kingsway, Burnaby, BC, V5H 4T2 |  |
| TELEPHONE: 604-808-8581 |  |
| PROJECT | PLAN: NWD EPP90192 |
| DESCRIPTION: New single family dwelling with secondary suite and attached garage |  |
| ADDRESS: 6702 Osprey | DL: 85 |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | LOT: 3 |

Building Permit application BLD21-00295 will be denied by the Building Department because the design is not in compliance with Burnaby Zoning Bylaw No. 4742:

> Zone R1 / Sections 101.6(1)(a) and 6.3(1)(b)

## COMMENTS:

The applicant proposes to build a new single family dwelling with a secondary sulte and an attached gatage. In order to allow the Building Permit application to proceed, the applicant requests that the following variances be granted:

1) To vary section 101.6(1)(a) - "Height of Principal Building" of the Zoning Bylaw requirement for the maximum building height from $9.00 \mathrm{~m}(29.50 \mathrm{ft}$.) to $9.52 \mathrm{~m}(31.23 \mathrm{ft}$.) measured from the front average grade for the proposed single family dwelling with a sloped roof. The principal building height measured from the rear average grade will be 7.86 m ( 25.79 ft .). The applicability of this variance, if granted, is limited to the scope of the proposal shown on the attached plans.
2) To vary Section $6.3(1)(b)$ - "Distance between Buildings on the same Lot" of the Zoning Bylaw requirement for the minimum distance from 4.50 m ( 14.76 ft .) to $2.13 \mathrm{~m}(7.00 \mathrm{ft})$. The applicability of this variance, if granted, is limited to the scope of the proposal shown on the attached plans.

Note:

1. The applicant recognizes that should the project contain additional characteristics in contravention of the Zoning By-law, a future appealis) may be required.
2. All new principal building projections into the resulting required yards will conform to the requirements of Section 6.I2.
3. Retaining walls and Fences will conform to the requirements of Section 6.14.

MS

## Kustuní,

Peter Kushnir<br>Deputy Chief Building Inspector

2021 July 29

City of Burnaby
City Clerk's Office
4949 Canada Way, Burnaby, BC V5G 1M2
Attention: Board of Variance

Re: 6702 Osprev Place. Burnabv BC -BLD21-00295
Members of the Board,
We have made an application for a New R1 Zoned Single Family Dwelling with a Legal Secondary. Our Building permit is on hold pending the Boards approval.

Our plans were referred to the City of Burnaby Board of Variance due to the setback location of the Accessory building in the rear yard. Our lot is 115 feet in depth, with a massive grade change measuring 40 feet in height from the front to the rear property line. We are requesting a variance in the location of the accessory building that is closer to the principal building, then allowed under the City of Burnaby current guidelines. The back wall of the accessory building will allow us to retain dirt at about existing grade. Minimal slope disturbance will help with the existing stability of the slope, by locating the accessory building at the requested location.

We are also requesting a 1.83 feet building height relaxation at top of ridge. This will prevent a flat roof at the top peak. A sloping roof is more preferential in terms of building envelope integrity and roof longevity. The roof height has no impact on any views as the proposed top of ridge is close to the back property line height elevation. Basically the house and accessory building is built into the slope of the property.

Thank you to the Board for its consideration.
Kind Regards,
Manjinder (Mindy) Bains Balbinder (Bryan) Bains Owners










