

**From:** WebAdmin@burnaby.ca on behalf of City of Burnaby <WebAdmin@burnaby.ca>  
**Sent:** December 14, 2021 9:46 AM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Public Hearings #47  
**Categories:** PH - Info Complete, Public Hearing

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. **The City will never ask for personal or account information or account password through email.** If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, please forward it to [phishing@burnaby.ca](mailto:phishing@burnaby.ca)

Submitted on Tue, 12/14/2021 - 09:46  
Submission # 47

Submitted values are:

17-34, 17-39  
Rez Ref # 18-21, 18-23  
Bylaw # \_\_\_\_\_

**Name**  
Murray Martin  
**Address**  
1403-7235 Salisbury Ave  
Burnaby, V5E4E6  
**Email Address**

FIPPA Sec. 22 (1)

**Rezoning application or bylaw number**  
Rez #17-39

**Submission**

I am writing on behalf of ACORN members in Burnaby against proceeding with this rezoning. The Tenant Assistance Plan (TAP) in its current form does not do what ACORN proposed at the Mayor's Task Force. ACORN consulted hundreds of our members and other tenants facing demovictions from 2015 until the formation of the Mayor's Task Force in 2019. The overwhelming response from these community consultations was that the problems tenants were facing from upzoning (ie demoviction) was the enormous incurred costs they faced because of lack of affordable housing in the area. When ACORN brought the Tenant Assistance Plan proposal to the Mayor's Task Force in 2019 we clearly communicated that a new policy would need to follow two principles: First, that Burnaby tenants incur no additional housing related costs from their displacement (demoviction) and second that they need to stay in the general Metrotown neighbourhood.

The current TAP has many loopholes that prevent the initial intention of the policy from occurring. For brevity, I will outline 2 of the biggest problems that Metrotown tenants are reporting to us.

The first problem is that the 'rent-top-up' is far from sufficient. 'Rent-top-up' is limited by CMHC median rents +30% which means that tenants are expected to be able to rent a 1 brdm unit for \$1518/mo and a 2 brdm unit for \$1990/mo. These are out of sync with current market rentals in the area by at least \$500/mo. Many residents are paying well over 30% of their income on rent in their present situation and this addition burden is not a sustainable situation for a majority of renters being evicted.

The second problem is that 'rent-top-up' was limited to 36 months. That means the tenants will lose all TAP help long before their replacement units are built. There is no chance that 36 months is enough time to build the replacement units that are required to be built and the tenant is being punished for delays that are beyond their control. This means there is a chance that some tenants will face rents at over 100% of their income between 36 months after their eviction and when their new unit is ready to occupy.

These loopholes are serious enough that ACORN cannot support the TAP in its current form and we are asking that these projects are put on hold until these and other problems are addressed.

Thank you,

Murray Martin  
Burnaby ACORN Chair

**From:** WebAdmin@burnaby.ca on behalf of City of Burnaby <WebAdmin@burnaby.ca>  
**Sent:** December 14, 2021 12:27 PM  
**To:** Clerks  
**Subject:** Webform submission from: Public Hearings #50  
**Categories:** PH - Info Complete, Public Hearing

**Rez Ref #** 18-21

**Bylaw #** \_\_\_\_\_

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. **The City will never ask for personal or account information or account password through email.** If you feel this email is malicious or a scam, please forward it to phishing@burnaby.ca

Submitted on Tue, 12/14/2021 - 12:26  
Submission # 50

Submitted values are:

**Name**  
Danielle Edwards Cony dos Santos

**Address**  
214-4355 Maywood Street  
Burnaby, V5H2J8

**Email Address**  
FIPPA Sec. 22 (1)

**Rezoning application or bylaw number**  
Bylaw No 14405

**Submission**

I have concerns on the safety of citizens who live on the building 4355 Maywood Street, which the application of rezoning is requested. Since Belford properties has acquired the building and outsourced the management to First Service, the quality of the maintenance has deprecated consistently.

Since this rezoning will demand waiting and future relocations, I am afraid those services are deprecate even more, since it seems in favor of the developer the exit of the residents.

I have collected evidence of the lack of communication with First Service and their lack of response on safety issues, such as mice infestations, elevators maintenance and garbage collection.

Is there any form of guarantee that Belford, will respect the Tenancy Assistance Policy agreement over their outsourced management, keeping the residents safety as priority?

How the city of Burnaby could help on those disputes.

Last summer, as was showed in the local news, people died in Vancouver, due lack of maintenance in buildings that where under rezoning (for instance, under the hot weave manager properties didn't turn it off the heating system).

Not saying that would be the case, but it is a good example that the negligence of old buildings maintenance can affect the life and safety of residents.